UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,641	12/08/2006	John Nelson	36290-0413-00-US	9389	
	7590 04/14/200 DDLE & REATH	8	EXAMINER HA, JULIE		
ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			HA, JULIE		
	ONE LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996			1654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/579,641	NELSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JULIE HA	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
· _						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
· · · <u>_</u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	• , ,	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: <u>Sequence No</u>	<u>on-compliance</u> .				

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Comply with Requirements for Patent Applications Containing

Nucleotide Sequence and/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth below. All sequences disclosed in the application must comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825, not only those recited in the claims.

SEQ IDS NOS: 1-2 (claimed in claims 4 and 17 and throughout the specification, for example paragraphs [0033], [0037] and [0038]).

All such sequences are relevant for the purposes of building a comprehensive database and properly assessing prior art. It is therefore essential that all sequences, whether only disclosed or also claimed, be included in the database.

Applicant is given **ONE MONTH**, or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this letter within which to comply with the sequence rules, 37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 CFR 1.821(g). Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no case may an applicant extend the period for reply beyond the SIX MONTH statutory period. Direct the reply to the undersigned. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with the reply. **Please note that examination cannot**

Application/Control Number: 10/579,641 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

continue unless the sequence compliance rule requirements are fulfilled. The applicant should carefully review the specification for any other sequences, in order to avoid necessitating a second Notice To Comply and hindering prosecution.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, drawn to a protein interaction system.

Group II, claim(s) 14-23 and 30-32, drawn to a library of nucleic acid construct, an expression vector, a transformed cell and a kit comprising a library of nucleic acid constructs.

Group III, claim(s) 24-29, drawn to an assay method for monitoring peptide interaction.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The special technical feature of Invention I is taught by Hu et al (Molecular Cell, 2002, 6(4): 789-798, filed with IDS on May 18, 2006). Hu et al teach a composition comprising proteins fused to fragments of Yellow Fluorescent Protein (YFP) connected by linker peptides of different lengths (KQKVMNH and RSIAT) (see p. 797, left column, 1st full paragraph). Hu et al further teach the analysis of kinetics of fluorescence recovery (see p. 790, left column, 2nd paragraph bridging 3rd paragraph of right column). This meets the special technical feature of Group III. Furthermore, the MPEP states the following:

Regarding the method claims, the PCT rule states the following: Unity of invention has to be considered in the first place only in relation to the independent claims in an international application and not the dependent claims. By "dependent" claim is meant a claim which contains all the features of one or more other claims and contains a reference, preferably at the beginning, to the other claim or claims and then states the additional features claimed (PCT Rule 6.4). The examiner should bear in

Art Unit: 1654

mind that a claim may also contain a reference to another claim even if it is not a dependent claim as defined in PCT Rule 6.4. One example of this is a claim referring to a claim of a different category (for example, "Apparatus for carrying out the process of Claim 1...," or "Process for the manufacture of the product of Claim 1..."). Similarly, a claim to one part referring to another cooperating part, for example, "plug for cooperation with the socket of Claim 1...") is not a dependent claim. Therefore, an assay method for monitoring peptide interaction refers to a different category, thus, Groups I-III lack unity of invention.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/579,641

Art Unit: 1654

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement

Page 5

is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

4. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence

now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on

the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be

used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Election of Species

5. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Different bait fusion protein;

Different first fragment of fluorescent protein;

Different first peptide of interest;

Different linker portions;

Different prey fusion protein;

Different second peptide of interest;

Different second linker portion;

Different library nucleic acid construct (first and second).

Application/Control Number: 10/579,641

Art Unit: 1654

6. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 6

- 7. For any Group elected, Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species of sequence of protein (wherein all of the fragment of first fragment of fluorescent protein, first peptide of interest, linker portion, second peptide of interest, second linker portion are elected to encompass one species of peptide/protein). For example, Applicant elects SEQ ID NO: 2 for the first fragment of fluorescent protein, sequence YRPGEFG for the first peptide of interest, SEQ ID NO:1 for the first linker portion, etc to encompass all of the peptide sequences to arrive at a single species of a protein interaction system. If Group II is elected, Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species of sequences nucleic acid constructs (encoding the peptide/protein sequences), expression vector and cell system that the vector is transformed into. For example, Applicant elects SEQ ID NO: 2 for the first fragment of fluorescent protein, sequence YRPGEFG for the first peptide of interest, SEQ ID NO:1 for the first linker portion, etc and the nucleic acid that encodes the corresponding peptide sequence, pN^{EGFP}(Sac)zip (see paragraph [0129]) and p. pichia yeast cells.
- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/579,641 Page 7

Art Unit: 1654

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

9. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 4 and 17.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claims 1-3, 5-16 and 18-32.

- 10. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The peptides are patentably independent and distinct due to different amino acid contents, leading to different structures. For example a peptide having the sequence YRPGEFG is not the same as GFDERTYG. Further, search for one would not necessarily lead to the other. Different fluorescent proteins are patentably independent and distinct due to different amino acid content, leading to different structure. Therefore, a search for a protein having a GFP-YRPGEFG would not lead to a protein having the sequence GFP-GFDERTYG. Different linkers have different structures. For example a peptide linker having the sequence AAAAR is not the same as a peptide linker having the sequence GGGGS. Further, search for one would not necessarily lead to the others. Additionally, the nucleic acid construct encoding the peptide sequences of the protein interaction system are patentably independent and distinct due to the different structures. Nucleic acids are encoded by different codons. Additionally, amino acids that are encoded by the nucleic acids also have different codons. For example, GCU, GCC, GCA, GCG all encode the amino acid alanine. Therefore, the nucleic acid constructs may have different codon content, leading to different structures. Further, search for one would not necessarily lead to the other. The vector systems are patentably independent distinct due to the different components associated with the vector. For example the restriction sites on the vector may be different, and thus may have different sequence specific cleavage sites. Further, search for one would not necessarily lead to the other. The cell systems where the vector is transformed into are patentably independent and distinct due to different systems. For example, mammalian system and yeast cell systems behave differently. Further, search for one would not necessarily lead to the other.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/579,641

Art Unit: 1654

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

12. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 8

- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 14. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.
- 15. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,641 Page 9

Art Unit: 1654

Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JULIE HA whose telephone number is (571)272-5982. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Julie Ha/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654

/Anish Gupta/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654